Code	ICFS 001	Risk that Business Contir	nuity Planning is not managed or tested				
Definition	Business continuity plans Internal 1. Ability to respond to en 2. Finances of the Counc 3. Reputation External	. Ability to respond to emergencies Finances of the Council . Reputation ixternal . Human welfare or the environment					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment		
Internal:		Disaster recovery planning,	Business Continuity Policy in place	Fully Effective	0		
• Resilience – ability of sys		business continuity planning and	Clear ownership of business continuity plans	Partially Effective	act		
Legal and Commercial and recovery and business cont		testing arrangements are not effectively developed and	Quarterly review by SMT	Partially Effective	Impact		
Capacity and capability	• Capacity and capability – accountability, recruitment and retention of staff, resources.		Regular Internal audit of BC Planning and Disaster recovery	Partially Effective	Likelihood		
• Financial – budget manag creditors.		s	Annual assurance by Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee	Partially Effective			
• Reputation – Confidence stakeholders have in the organization			Annual committee oversight of business continuity plans established	Fully Effective			
users. • Delivery – frontline service	e and relationships.		Regular BCP testing regime	Partially Effective			
• Legal - community, environment				•	Very serious		
Safety.					Significant		
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Eleanor Shepperd/ Anne Donaldson	Residual Risk Assessment		
Latest Note	of testing the plans and tr sessions for staff. Updati	aining appropriate staff. EPM advi- ng of BCPs for all Social Work Chi ng followed up. Those received ha	(EPM) on 8 August 2018 to discuss the practicalities sed that he would look in to setting up training ldren's Services has been done, though some still we been sent to the EPM. All schools' BCPs are	04 Sep 2018	Very serious Almost Impossible		

Code	ICFS002	Risk that performance ma	Risk that performance management arrangements are not robust				
Definition	Performance manageme	Performance management supports an effective compliance culture and change and continual improvement processes.					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment		
• Effectiveness - measuremer outcomes.	nt of impacts and	Performance culture is being embedded across ICFS.	Review of performance metrics to match changes in service delivery	Partially Effective	t O		
• Consistency - approach to the improvement agendas.	ne transformation and	• Inconsistent use of performance indicators to inform	Robust governance structure at committee level with quarterly reporting	Fully Effective	mpact		
• Capacity and capability - ac		service improvement.	Access to dashboard for relevant staff	Fully Effective	Likelihood		
Best Value delivery and Public		Poor benchmarking activity. F	Performance reporting reviewed	Fully Effective			
 Reputation – confidence and have in the organisation. 	trust which stakeholders	Target-setting inadequate.Performance metrics being			Serious		
Governance – assurance and accountability, government agency approval.		reviewed to demonstrate outcomes.			Significant		
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Reyna Stewart/Anne Donaldson/Eleanor Shepperd	Residual Risk Assessment		
Latest Note	The use of performance data is central to the delivery of operational services. Increasingly are making effective use of this in tracking the outcomes for individual children and the ag of services. All CSW teams have a performance dashboard and these feed into a service Similarly, within schools a dashboard has been developed for schools containing key and These tools are allowing for more effective support and challenge to be offered to schools Regular reporting is provided to Committee and external bodies on key performance indic		individual children and the aggregated performance and these feed into a service reporting system. for schools containing key and critical information. llenge to be offered to schools and services.	21 September 2018	Likelihood Material Very Low		

Code	ICfS003	Risk of non-compliance w	rith health and safety management syste	em	
Definition	The Council is required by Policy.	by law to safeguard its employees a	nd users and to ensure their wellbeing through effec	tive implementation of th	ne Health and Safety
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment
• People – injury/fatality, absen • Legal and regulatory – prose		A positive health and safety culture is not yet ingrained	Plan, Do, Check, Act approach based on known risk adopted in the Health and Safety Policy	Partially Effective	ti O
breaches. • Financial – compensation cla	. ,	across all areas of ICFS. Inconsistent delivery of training	Service Health and Safety Committee structure in place with Trade Union membership	Fully Effective	mpact
enforcement action and cost red • Delivery – frontline service ar	covery of regulator time.	to meet the health and safety needs of staff.	Directorate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Plans prepared	Partially Effective	Likelihood
• Reputation – confidence and	·	 Communication of H&S matters is not co-ordinated. 			Serious
stakeholders have in the organisation.		Non-reporting/recording of incidents and near misses with poor or no investigation resulting in no identification of remedial action to prevent reoccurrence Compliance with health and safety management system is not consistently monitored. Lack of clarity of health and safety responsibilities.			High
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Anne Donaldson/Eleanor Shepperd	Residual Risk Assessment
Latest Note	The Operations Health and Safety Committee meets on a reby both CO's and relevant Service Manager representation. ensure a consistent understanding of areas of risk are identidevelopment of an action plan to ensure that all areas are be reported on at that Operations Health and Safety Committee		A data reporting framework is being developed to fied and addressed. The next step will be eing addressed appropriately. This in turn will be	21 September 2018	Likelihood Very serious Almost Impossible

Code	ICFS004	Risk that legislative and p	policy changes are not anticipated or pla	nned for				
Definition	The Council must be aw seized	are and plan for changes in legislat	re and plan for changes in legislation and policy and ensure that risks to functions and services are managed and opportunities					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment			
	children and their families will fail y and policy expectations	Horizon scanning is not as effective as it needs to be.	Embedded legislation and policy tracking with consultees consistently providing effective feedback	Fully Effective	t			
	itory responsibilities, policy and	Communication shortfalls between Scottish Government	Management engage both officers and elected members to provide input where required.	Partially Effective	mpact			
 Financial – government penalties. Delivery – effectiveness of service. Reputation – confidence and trust in which citizens have in the organisation. 		and national bodies in the preparation of legislative	Senior management monitor assurances against key corporate risks and direct actions as required.	Partially Effective	Likelihood			
		changes.Internal planning processes and capacity are challenged.	Understanding of and willingness to embrace opportunities arising from legislation	Partially Effective				
		Difficult to predict the financial implications of new policy/ legislative initiatives	Membership and attendance at national groups such as Social Work Scotland and ADES	Fully Effective				
					Serious			
					Significant			
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Anne Donaldson/Eleanor Shepperd	Residual Risk Assessment			
Latest Note		The service has brought together staff into one team whose remit will include horizon scanning. Senior managers are also well connected to national professional groupings to enable them to be aware of policy and legislative changes.			tight of the second serious Very Low			

Code	ICFS005	Risk that service delivery	is hindered by staff recruitment and ret	ention issues	
Definition	The quality of the workfor vacancies the pace of ch	rce is key to the delivery of high qua ange may be slowed and more pre	ality services and to implementing the transformation ssure put on the staff in post.	and improvement agen	das. With high staff
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment
• Service delivery - the breadth	n of the curriculum	Staffing levels for teachers,	Service workforce plans in place	Fully Effective	0
delivered in schools, particularly phase. Children and Young Peobest educational or life opportur • People – Services to children	ople do not receive the nities. and their families fail to	Early Years Practitioners and Social Workers do not meet with business need. Training and development opportunities are reduced due to	Annual census data is in place for teachers and early years practitioners monitored to ensure that national requirements for pupil teacher ratios are delivered	Fully Effective	Likelihood
deliver on the statutory and policy expectations • Operations – overall capacity and capability to deliver. • Resources – recruitment and retention (the pace of change in children's Social Work may be reduced due to the number of Social Work vacancies).		staff shortages. • Cost of living in Aberdeen is above that of most places in	Annual census data is in place for social work staff to ensure clarity on pressure points.	Fully Effective	
		Scotland. • The scale of change has created uncertainty about employment opportunities within the Council. • Morale is also affected by uncertainty around the new Target Operating Model and future employment opportunities with the Council.			Very serious
					High
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Eleanor Shepperd/Anne Donaldson	Residual Risk Assessment
Latest Note	remain positive and effort vulnerability are known a	ts to attract new staff are productive nd contingency plans are in place w	remains a challenge. Links with local universities but demand outstrips supply. Areas of staffing ria the use of supply teachers and agency staff. The ear bringing greater stability to service delivery.	21 September 2018	Likelihood Very serious Low

Code	ICFS006	Risk of poor contract management						
Definition	An effective and properly	An effective and properly maintained contracts register underpins good supplier management and efficient use of resources.						
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment			
• Resources – sourcing emerg services unsupported, duplicati		Absence of properly maintained contracts register and	Delegation of powers in standing orders to ensure correct authorisation levels in place	Partially Effective	t			
in pool of suppliers. • Reputation - audit outcomes	, litigation, confidence and	expiration dates, and skills level and relationships with suppliers	Contracts register for Children's Social Work to be created	Partially Effective	Impact			
trust in the organisation. • Financial – commissioned services are delivery against		leads to inadequate management of contracts. • Communication with the	Procurement Working Groups established and led by appropriate officers	Fully Effective	Likelihood			
identified need and represent v	alue for money.	Corporate Procurement Teams ineffectual.	Contract management procedures	Partially Effective				
			Focus on continuous improvement and cost reduction	Partially Effective				
					Very serious			
					Low			
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Anne Donaldson/Eleanor Shepperd	Residual Risk Assessment			
Latest Note	Further work is planned in	n the coming months to conclude the resented to SCC in September 201	ial Work to recommission a number of services. nis. An outline of the commissioning needs across 18 and work will be taken forward to ensure all	21 September 2018	Likelihood Very serious Almost Impossible			

Code	ICFS007	Growing demographic de	Growing demographic demands result in service delivery pressures					
Definition	Services are increasingly	delivering to a greater number of l	ooked after and accommodated children and to a gr	eater number of school a	ged pupils.			
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment			
• Capacity and capability – or operational ability to deliver (60		A significant increase in house building is projected for the city in	Pupil roll projections are conducted annually and monitored against school capacities	Fully Effective	t			
 and childcare), growing to 1140 Service delivery - the best siguaranteed for children and you 	ervice cannot be ung people; an increase in	the coming years. • Immigration into Aberdeen from across the globe has resulted in	Numbers of looked after and accommodated children and young people are recorded and monitored	Fully Effective	tikelihood			
out of authority placements as provision cannot be delivered internally.	an increase in the city's population, including children and young people.	The ECS Estate Plan reviews the needs of the service to effectively deliver to the citizens of Aberdeen	Partially Effective					
regarding the increas		• Inaccurate projections regarding the increase in pupil rolls across the city and within	Irding the increase in pupil changes and how they will be met	Fully Effective				
		individual schools, including			Serious			
		parental choice for each school. • Failing to invest in increasing the capacity of the ECS estate to meet the educational or care needs of increasing numbers of young people.			Significant			
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Eleanor Shepperd/Andrew Jones	Residual Risk Assessment			
Latest Note	school estate is being un	dertaken by the Corporate Landlord f ELC has required a review of our	to indicate a growing school roll. A review of the d and this will be presented to committee. In estate in order that Aberdeen City can deliver the	21 September 2018	Likelihood Serious Low			

Code	ICFS008	Risk that financial plannir	Risk that financial planning is not robust					
Definition		uing and increasing pressure to de ligh class services are delivered to	liver services in an environment of reducing financial the citizens of Aberdeen.	resources. Robust finan	cial planning is			
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment			
• Financial – service costs, and reduction in available fu	budgets; poor management inds.	• Financial pressures faced by the Council have been identified as	Council financial plans are agreed at the budget setting Council meeting each year	Fully Effective	t			
• Legal/regulatory – criticis Auditor and Audit Scotland a Regulations.	m from the Council's External and breach of Financial	needing to save £125 million over 5 years. • Overall reduction in the revenue and capital grants to the Council from	Budget monitoring arrangements are in place between Service Managers / Head Teachers and Finance Service colleagues	Fully Effective	E Likelihood			
due consideration of the imp	uction of expenditure without pact on children and young	the Scottish Government. Contractual cost pressures increasing. Decisions about placing	Budget responsibilities are set out in the Financial Regulations	Fully Effective				
people.	people.		New scheme of Devolved School Management is to be completed	Partially Effective				
		Increasing demographics result in additional service obligations. Changes in legislation and consequential service delivery	Children are tracked on an individual basis to ensure planning remains consistent with their needs.	Fully Effective				
		implications are not effectively planned.			Very serious			
		Expectations from the public that service delivery will be maintained at current levels.			High			
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Eleanor Shepperd/Anne Donaldson	Residual Risk Assessment			
Latest Note	authority in fostering and authority. For many of the stability of care is critical	residential care. Many of these state children in foster care, they have to their long-term outcomes. The si	main these relate to children placed out with the stutory decisions are made independent of the local been in these settings for a number of years and ervice is ensuring that all options are explored prior fer to kinship carers to increase the number placed	21 September 2018	Likelihood Very serious Very Low			

Code	ICFS009	Risk of major SEEMiS and	d / or CareFirst systems failure		
Definition	Secure, well-functioning	IT systems are critical to carrying o	ut statutory Education and Children's Social Work fun	ctions.	
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment
Service delivery— frontline are impacted.	nd essential services	• IT systems suppliers unable to provide adequate support to the	Appropriate staff training in place to ensure effective use of both SEEMIS and Carefirst systems	Partially Effective	0
• Resources, capacity and ca		Council, and Service	Update of SEEMIS membership agreement(2015)	Fully Effective	Impact
access, child protection, educa achievement cannot be recorded	ed.	Suppliers fail to have effective Suppliers fail to have effective	Development of GIRFEC module supported by enhanced payment to SEEMIS in 2015/16	Fully Effective	Likelihood
Legal - statutory requirements in terms of the Children and Young People Act 2014 not met.		business continuity and disaster recovery planning arrangements. • Council and Mainframe systems ability to provide sufficient protection of data. • Systems unable to meet the needs of practitioners.	Corporate and Curricular ICT provision is being modernised and servers located in new data centre	Fully Effective	
			SEEMIS system now relocated into a new data centre with resilient backup	Fully Effective	
			Wellbeing module now in deployment. Key Staff have been trained by SEEMIS and a programme of in-house training is proceeding	Partially Effective	
			Carefirst well established across children's Social Work	Fully Effective	
					Very serious
					Low
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Anne Donaldson/ Trevor Gillespie.	Residual Risk Assessment
Latest Note	with the intention to bring		reement to extent the current contract of Carefirst but future. A project team has been established to 2019.	21 September 2018	Likelihood Very serious Very Low

Code	ICFS011	Unaccompanied Asylum S	Inaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASC)					
Definition			accommodated in two English authorities. Due to legislative barriers it has until 2018 not been possible for UASC to be disper for all LA's to support this demand by offering a proportionate number of placements					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness	_	Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment			
• Finance - UK government will each UASC this will not cover the		• limited accommodation options that are appropriate for UASC.	Multi-Agency planning group meeting to support anticipated arrival	Fully Effective	# 0			
and support		UASC will not be eligible to	Engagement with other LA's and SG	Fully Effective	Impact O			
• Resources - Lack of resource effectively plan for the support L		access employment or state funded education/training						
Service Delivery - The needs of this group of young		opportunities. Lack of			Likelihood			
people will be unique and there		meaningful day time occupation could lead to isolation/negative			Serious			
among staff team of this area of	WOIK.	associations Resources to provide for each			Significant			
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson		Risk Manager	Anne Donaldson	Residual Risk Assessment			
Latest Note	The Scottish Government have amended the legislation whis on a voluntary basis, although could move to a compulso would indicate that ACC is not able to offer placements to be faith community to explore support options should this situated		y basis should demand grow. Current assessment ASC. ACC staff have established links with the loca		tikelihood Serious Low			

Code	ICFS012	Foster Carers being grant	ted employee status				
Definition	Following a Court judge applies in Scotland is sti		nent in England this called into question the employment status of certain foster carers. At full implications of this judgement as it to be determined.				
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment		
Finance - Foster carers to be treated as employees of the council with same entitlement to paid holidays, sick pay etc. Resources – Limited foster carers, impact on children and resources - staff and buildings to effectively plan for the support UASC. Service Delivery - The needs of this children and young people require stability and moving foster care placements to accommodate leave detrimental to their interests.		 limited accommodation options due to supply of foster carers. Resources to pay foster carers 	Staff linked to national bodies – SWS & IFA who are engagement with discussions with SG.	Fully effective	Impact		
		full employment status. • The needs of children require stability and consistency of care.	Engagement with other LA's and SG	Fully Effective	Likelihood		
					Serious High		
Risk Owner	Graeme Simpson	1	Risk Manager	Isabel McDonnell	Residual Risk Assessment		
Latest Note	carers but only to those		dered. At this stage it is not felt that it will apply to all be at home all the time to meet the needs of the mber of these carers.	21 September 2018	Likelihood Serious High		